## Case3:10-cv-03736-JSW Document51 Filed02/25/11 Page1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Barbara Clarke McCurdy (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vic</i> barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com Naveen Modi (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) naveen.modi@finnegan.com Srikala P. Atluri (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) srikala.atluri@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400  Tina E. Hulse (CA Bar No. 232936) tina.hulse@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304-1203 Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666	e)	
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
18	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO. C 10-03736 JSW (Related Case: C 10-04017 JSW)	
19	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF RAMBUS INC.'S	
20	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO	
21	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,  Defendant.	CONSIDER LETTER TO THE COURT;  SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF TINA E. HULSE;  [PROPOSED] ORDER	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

On February 24, 2011, Defendant International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") submitted via e-mail a letter to the Court without Plaintiff Rambus Inc.'s ("Rambus") approval or comments. Not only did IBM's letter violate this Court's standing order on communications with the Court, IBM's letter violated this Court's standing order on discovery disputes, which expressly states that the parties must submit a "*joint* letter brief" to the Court. Rambus has no choice but to respond to the mischaracterizations in IBM's letter, but does not wish to violate the Court's standing order.

Accordingly, while Rambus regrets any inconvenience to the Court caused by these letters, Rambus respectfully requests that the Court either disregard IBM's letter and require the parties to submit a joint letter brief, or, if the Court considers IBM's letter, to consider Rambus's responsive letter (attached as Exhibit A), as well.

## SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF TINA E. HULSE

I, TINA E. HULSE, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before this Court and all courts of the State of California, and am an associate of Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner L.L.P., counsel for Rambus in the above-entitled action. The matters stated herein are based upon my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, would testify as to them.
- 2. The representations made in this Administrative Motion and Declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
- 3. On February 23, 2011, I received from IBM's counsel, Robert Fischer, an e-mail attaching a draft letter to the Court and stating, "We invite Rambus to join in submitting this letter, but we will proceed to finalize and file it ourselves sometime tomorrow afternoon (Pacific) if we do not hear from you by then."
- 4. On February 24, 2011, I responded to Mr. Fischer with an alternative proposed case schedule to avoid involving the Court. I also stated, "If you do not agree and intend to file a letter today, please let us know so we can send you Rambus's position for the letter, as your letter does not characterize our position correctly."

1	5.
2	call I had with
3	from IBM's co
4	White in accor
5	revisions to th
6	6.
7	to the Court to
8	letter."
9	7.
10	Court had alre
11	I decla
12	true and corre
13	California.
14	Dated: Februa
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

	5.	After a series of e-mails regarding IBM's proposed letter to the Court, a telephone		
call I	had with	the Court's clerk, and a telephone conference with Mr. Fischer, I received an e-mail		
from	IBM's co	ounsel, Edward Kmett, attaching "a copy of a Letter Brief being submitted to Judge		
White in accordance with his standing order on discovery disputes." The attached letter included				
revisi	ons to th	e letter sent to me on February 23, 2011.		

- I responded to Mr. Kmett, stating, "As you know, the standing order requires letters be joint letter briefs. We will get back to you with our comments on your proposed
- IBM's counsel, Mr. Fischer, then responded to my e-mail, stating the letter to the eady been submitted.

are under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is ct and this declaration was executed this 25th day of February, 2011, at San Francisco,

ary 25, 2011 By: /s/ Tina E. Hulse

Tina E. Hulse (CA Bar No. 232936)

tina.hulse@finnegan.com

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

3300 Hillview Avenue

Palo Alto, California 94304-1203

Telephone: (650) 849-6600

Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

Barbara Clarke McCurdy (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com

Naveen Modi (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

naveen.modi@finnegan.com

Srikala P. Atluri (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

srikala.atluri@finnegan.com

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

901 New York Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rambus Inc.

PROPOSED ORDER IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Rambus's Administrative Motion is GRANTED. The Court orders the parties to submit a joint letter brief in accordance with the Court's standing order on discovery disputes. [or, in the alternative: The Court shall consider both letter briefs of the parties.] Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2011 Jeffrey S. White United States Court District Judge